

Computational Self-Organisation of Hematopoietic Latent Architectures via Causal Directionality in D-SPIN Manifolds

A Proposed Framework for Resolving Frustrated Regulatory States via Interventional VAE Logic

Oluwatobi Baruwa

1. Manifold Parameterisation and the Developmental Prior The proposed framework addresses the transcriptomic "measurement revolution" by utilising a Variational Autoencoder (VAE) to project high-dimensional single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) counts (X with ~20,000 dimensions) onto a parsimonious latent manifold (z with roughly 20 dimensions).

- **Front-End Integration:** The model utilises PopAlign to build Gaussian Mixture Models (GMMs) of healthy hematopoietic populations sourced from Villani et al. (2017). These compressed representations serve as a Developmental Prior, anchoring the latent space to biophysical constraints and mitigating the "catastrophic forgetting" inherent in static neural architectures.
- **Symmetry-Breaking Logic:** Unlike standard autoencoders, the Mesengenic AI architecture is dynamic. By monitoring reconstruction error across the manifold, the network initiates "Symmetry-Breaking" events—branching new latent layers (increasing the number of dimensions) to mirror the differentiation of Hematopoietic Stem Cells (HSCs) into specialised lineages.

2. D-SPIN Integration: Orienting Frustrated Spins D-SPIN recovers undirected gene couplings J_{ij} within an Ising-model Hamiltonian. The Energy (E) of a cell state is defined by the sum of interactions between genes (s) and external perturbations (h):

$$E(s) = - \sum_{i < j} J_{ij} s_i s_j - \sum_i h_i s_i$$

A primary computational challenge in this framework is "**Frustration**"—states where mutual inhibition ($J_{ij} < 0$) creates a rugged energy landscape. My framework (VAE v3) introduces

Causal Directionality to resolve these tensions:

- **The do-operator Logic:** Using the Pearl framework for causal inference, we distinguish between observation $P(B|A)$ and intervention $P(B | \text{do}(A))$. By applying Sparse Mechanism Shift (SMS) to the Norman et al. (2019) CRISPRa dataset, we measure the directional asymmetry in gene to gene interactions.
- **The Operation:** If the impact of forcing Gene A on Gene B - $P(B | \text{do}(A))$ - is significantly greater than the inverse, the model orients the undirected coupling as a directed edge ($A \rightarrow B$). This transforms the undirected spin-glass into a Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG), identifying the "Causal Pivot Genes" that act as the master repressors for lineage commitment.

3. Inverse Manifold Simulation and Precision Reprogramming The model acts as a scalable tool for Algorithmic Tissue Engineering, specifically targeting the causal rewiring found in Myelodysplastic Syndromes (MDS) and Clonal Hematopoiesis, utilising the Van Galen et al. (2019) bone marrow hierarchies.

- **Localisation:** By performing a manifold comparison between healthy priors and diseased states, the VAE localises the coordinate of divergence (z-fail) where the HSC trajectory is biased toward pro-inflammatory branching.
- **The Causal Nudge:** The generative engine performs Inverse In-Silico Simulation, predicting the minimal perturbation $do(X)$ required to flip the identified Causal Pivot Genes. This effectively "nudges" the system back across the Waddington ridge toward a healthy regulatory attractor.

4. Implementation Stack

- **Frameworks:** PyTorch, PyTorch Geometric (for MPNN-based graph modeling).
- **Kernels:** GPflow for Gaussian Process-informed latent transitions.
- **Inference:** PHATE for high-fidelity visualisation of branching differentiation trajectories.

Citations

1. Jiang J, Thomson M. A guide to D-SPIN: constructing regulatory network models from single-cell RNA-seq perturbation data. *bioRxiv*. 2025:2025.07.28.667326.
2. Chen S, Rivaud P, Park JH, Tsou T, Charles E, Haliburton JR, et al. Dissecting heterogeneous cell populations across drug and disease conditions with PopAlign. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2020;117(46):28784-94.
3. Jiang J, Chen S, Tsou T, McGinnis CS, Khazaei T, Zhu Q, et al. D-SPIN constructs gene regulatory network models from multiplexed scRNA-seq data revealing organizing principles of cellular perturbation response. *bioRxiv*. 2025:2023.04.19.537364.
4. Moon KR, van Dijk D, Wang Z, Gigante S, Burkhardt DB, Chen WS, et al. Visualizing structure and transitions in high-dimensional biological data. *Nature Biotechnology*. 2019;37(12):1482-92.
5. Neuberg L. *Causality: models, reasoning, and inference*, by judea pearl, cambridge university press, 2000. *Econometric Theory*. 2003;19:675-85.
6. Norman TM, Horlbeck MA, Replogle JM, Ge AY, Xu A, Jost M, et al. Exploring genetic interaction manifolds constructed from rich single-cell phenotypes. *Science*. 2019;365(6455):786-93.

7. Villani AC, Satija R, Reynolds G, Sarkizova S, Shekhar K, Fletcher J, et al. Single-cell RNA-seq reveals new types of human blood dendritic cells, monocytes, and progenitors. *Science*. 2017;356(6335).
8. van Galen P, Hovestadt V, Wadsworth li MH, Hughes TK, Griffin GK, Battaglia S, et al. Single-Cell RNA-Seq Reveals AML Hierarchies Relevant to Disease Progression and Immunity. *Cell*. 2019;176(6):1265-81.e24.
9. Hay SB, Ferchen K, Chetal K, Grimes HL, Salomonis N. The Human Cell Atlas bone marrow single-cell interactive web portal. *Exp Hematol*. 2018;68:51-61.